

FINAL REPORT

VIRUCIDAL SUSPENSION EFFICACY TEST Influenza A Virus (H1N1)

TEST AGENT
Nanocomposite Material

Author Zheng Chen, M.S.

Performing Laboratory
MicroBioTest
Division of Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

105 Carpenter Drive Sterling, Virginia 20164

<u>Laboratory Project Identification Number</u> 852-101

Page 1 of 9

Project No. 852-101

RESULTS

Results are presented in Tables 1-3.

The Viral load was determined in the following manner:

Viral Load (log_{10} TCID₅₀) = Titer (log_{10} TCID₅₀/mL) + Log₁₀[Volume (mL) x Volume Correction]

The log₁₀ Reduction Factor (LRF) was calculated in the following manner:

Log₁₀ Reduction Factor = Initial viral load (Log₁₀) – Output viral load (Log₁₀)

The percentage of virus inactivation was calculated in the following manner:

[1-Output Viral Load / Initial Viral Load] x 100 = 1-10[^] (-log₁₀Reduction Factor) x 100

Table 1
Titer Results

Sample	Titer (Log ₁₀ TCID ₅₀ /mL)	Volume (mL)	Volume Correction ^a	Viral Load (Log ₁₀ TCID ₅₀)	
Cell viability/media sterility control	no virus detected, cells viable; media sterile				
Virus Stock Titer Control	6.50			- 4	
Theoretical viral load per run**				5.98	
Virus Recovery Control (with UV-A) ^b	5.00	3	2	5.78	
Virus Recovery Control (without UV-A) ^b	5.75	3	2	6.53	
Column Titer Control (with UV-A)	5.25	3	2	6.03	
Column Titer Control (without UV-A)	5.75	3	2	6.53	
Nanocomposite Materal (with UV-A) ^b	≤ 0.83 *	3	2	≤ 1.61	

^{*} No virus was detected. The titer was determined based on the Poisson distribution.

^{**} The theoretical viral load was calculated based on the titer of the stock virus and the volume (0.3 mL) added into each reaction mixture.

^a Volume correction accounts for the neutralization of the sample post contact time.

^b Sample was processed by Sephacryl column.

Project No. 852-101

RESULTS (continued)

Table 2
Neutralizer Effectiveness/Viral Interference and Cytotoxicity Controls

Dilution of the Neutralized Sample	Neutralizer Effectiveness/Viral Interference Control (with UV-A) ^a	Cytotoxicity with Control (with UV-A) ^a	
10^-1	virus detected in 4 out of 4 wells	no cytotoxicity observed	
10^-2	virus detected in 4 out of 4 wells	no cytotoxicity observed	
10^-3	virus detected in 4 out of 4 wells	no cytotoxicity observed	

^a Sample was processed by Sephacryl column.

Table 3
Reduction Factor

Test Agent	Contact Time	Initial Viral Load (Log ₁₀ TCID ₅₀)	Output Viral Load (Log ₁₀ TCID ₅₀)	Log ₁₀ Reduction	Percent Reduction (%)
Nanocomposite Material	20 minutes	5.78	≤ 1.61	≥ 4.17	≥ 99,99

CONCLUSIONS

MicroBioTest personnel performed the inactivation procedure using Influenza A Virus (H1N1) (A/California/04/09) to spike the test agent solution. Samples were taken and titrated by 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID $_{50}$) endpoint assay using MDCK cells.

Table 3 reports the individual Log_{10} virus reduction factor for the test article treatment procedure. All of the controls met the criteria for a valid test. These conclusions were based on observed data.